


## May 2017 Tribal Colleges/State Land Grant University Water Summit: Post Event Evaluation Survey Results

**What type of organization do you work for?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	State Land grant university (campus-based)	9	34.6	34.6	34.6
	Tribal College or University	9	34.6	34.6	69.2
	Extension	3	11.5	11.5	80.8
	State Agency	1	3.8	3.8	84.6
	EPA	1	3.8	3.8	88.5
	Other Federal Agency	1	3.8	3.8	92.3
	Other: tribal, extension	2	7.7	7.7	100.0
	Total	26	100.0	100.0	

**What type of organization do you work for?**



**In which of the following states do you work?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Kansas	12	46.2	46.2	46.2
	Michigan	2	7.7	7.7	53.8
	Missouri	2	7.7	7.7	61.5

Nebraska	1	3.8	3.8	65.4
Wisconsin	2	7.7	7.7	73.1
South Dakota	5	19.2	19.2	92.3
Other: n/a, Australia	2	7.7	7.7	100.0
Total	26	100.0	100.0	

**Have you worked directly with tribal communities in the past three years?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	16	61.5	61.5	61.5
No	10	38.5	38.5	100.0
Total	26	100.0	100.0	

Examples of tribal community work: As a graduate student working on a vegetable gardening project, collaboration research

I served as a Treaty Council member, Tax Commissioner, and Chairman of Tribe's Land Corporation as well as a state legislator,

Full time FRTEP appt, local foods, health & wellness, community agriculture, financial literacy, workforce development,

continuous basis,

community-based research,

provided data through my organization to sag. chip. tribal planning office,

veggie gardening workshops,

tcrp funded projects,

Through research and extension

**Do you feel you have developed connections with out of state experts who you could consult with if you have a question about water issues?**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	23	88.5	92.0	92.0
No	2	7.7	8.0	100.0
Total	25	96.2	100.0	
Missing System	1	3.8		
Total	26	100.0		

**Is this group well positioned to apply for additional funding for research and outreach around water issues?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes, very well positioned	5	19.2	21.7	21.7
	Yes, somewhat well positioned	16	61.5	69.6	91.3
	It is too soon to know	2	7.7	8.7	100.0
	Total	23	88.5	100.0	
Missing	System	3	11.5		
Total		26	100.0		

\*Note –No respondents selected “No, not well positioned”

**Other funding sources the group should pursue:** SARE, USDA, private, state, federal, FDA, USFS Climate Science, USGS, foundations, building local capacity for emergency planning and leadership, [www.northcentralsare.org](http://www.northcentralsare.org), ERME, tribal college, research grant, epa, nuclear regulatory commission, Fema planning grant, NRCS, USGS, NIFA, foundation funds, cig, nsf regional collaboration

**What was the most beneficial part of the summit for you?**

Networking [mentioned many times], brainstorming [Mentioned several times], new information, connecting with experts, the drive time getting to know everyone’s role & how they practice X,Y,Z, collaboration, recognizing the need for relationships, trying to create collaborations, connecting with stakeholders and TCUs, time in community, new information about First Nation people and governance, Renewal, Energy, breakout sessions (brainstorming & identifying priorities), sharing ideas and potential partnerships, sharing of information, liked seeing what the local tribal projects that were done with the Land Grant School, connecting with 1862s and extension experts, connecting with members of tribal colleges and tribal members in my region, learning about grant opportunities, lessons learned from others, educational sessions, field trips, connecting with other states, learning about other projects and issues, learning about water issues important to tribal communities.

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of **water focused research and outreach happening at tribal colleges and universities across the Midwest?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A small extent	4	15.4	17.4	17.4
	A medium extent	13	50.0	56.5	73.9
	A large extent	6	23.1	26.1	100.0
	Total	23	88.5	100.0	
Missing	System	3	11.5		
Total		26	100.0		

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of ***water focused research and outreach happening at land-grant universities across the Midwest?***

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	None	1	3.8	4.2	4.2
	A small extent	6	23.1	25.0	29.2
	A medium extent	15	57.7	62.5	91.7
	A large extent	2	7.7	8.3	100.0
	Total	24	92.3	100.0	
Missing	System	2	7.7		
Total		26	100.0		

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of ***common challenges for studying and addressing water issues across tribal colleges/universities and other land-grant universities?***

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A medium extent	9	34.6	39.1	39.1
	A large extent	14	53.8	60.9	100.0
	Total	23	88.5	100.0	
Missing	System	3	11.5		
Total		26	100.0		

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of ***examples of successful collaborations between tribal colleges and other land-grant universities?***

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A small extent	5	19.2	21.7	21.7
	A medium extent	7	26.9	30.4	52.2
	A large extent	11	42.3	47.8	100.0
	Total	23	88.5	100.0	
Missing	System	3	11.5		
Total		26	100.0		

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of **opportunities for improved or expanded collaboration between land-grant universities and tribal colleges/universities on water issues?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A medium extent	15	57.7	68.2	68.2
	A large extent	7	26.9	31.8	100.0
	Total	22	84.6	100.0	
Missing	System	4	15.4		
Total		26	100.0		

To what extent did the meeting and breakout discussions increase your understanding of **the overall mission for this work group?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	A small extent	1	3.8	4.3	4.3
	A medium extent	12	46.2	52.2	56.5
	A large extent	10	38.5	43.5	100.0
	Total	23	88.5	100.0	
Missing	System	3	11.5		
Total		26	100.0		

**Do you have any action items or next steps coming out of the summit? If so please share a bit about them, and how the NCRWN could support you/your team.**

Develop collaborations at home and regionally, continue to provide opportunities for us to grow collectively
I think there is an opportunity to support tribes which do not have a good plan for needs on needs assessment process
Develop pathways to collaboration through proposed projects
I'm already do work on a way to help map the points for research partnerships like others
Explore the idea of a regional watershed academy
water testing/partnering with tribal EPA, tribal emergency preparedness community
Already discussed at end of discussion on Thursday
working groups, applying for additional funding, online platform for discussion, email list-serv
meet with members of local 1994 institution, Haskell
keeping the networking and brainstorming going, and finding key others to bring to discussions
This summit was very useful. Meetings like this are important to learn what other communities/colleges do.
volunteered to contact ITEP and TEPM (other) organizations to inform of our efforts and see what attending this conference and working with them; will like input from others as to our involvement and interpretation material
coordination on watershed assessment and emergency preparedness
communication is critical

**If a similar event was scheduled 2 years from now, which was built on action items identified by this summit, how likely would you be to attend?**

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Very Unlikely	1	3.8	4.2	4.2
	Unlikely	1	3.8	4.2	8.3
	Undecided	1	3.8	4.2	12.5
	Likely	11	42.3	45.8	58.3
	Very Likely	10	38.5	41.7	100.0
	Total	24	92.3	100.0	
Missing	System	2	7.7		
Total		26	100.0		

\*Note at least one respondent wrote "contingent on funding" next to this question

**Please share any other feedback about the water summit or ways to improve the workgroup here.**

Include other groups that work within the same area. Missouri River (MRRIC) is meeting now in Sioux Falls (Reno Red Cloud is a contact for them). Other groups also exist that we can partner with within water or environmental areas. We should find them
Very well organized, thank you!
It would be nice to have more tribal representatives present
Very informative and wide varying. Loved the field trip. Great mix of people, might be good to do some institutional capacity mapping to prioritize
It was great especially the networking
Well done, nice diverse group
Charlie did a great job-thank you!
Great summit
Thank you! very well organized and enjoyable. Nice venue and great food/lodging. Nice people. It seems like adding another day could come in handy! I feel like we could have used more time
Great conference! Thank you!
great experience and a bunch of good folks